Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 043880

66

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 ARA-11 ADP-00 SCA-01 H-02 TRSE-00 SCS-03 RSC-01

/022 R

DRAFTED BY L/ ARA: DAGANTZ: CBF 3/9/73 EXT 22160 APPROVED BY ARA/ EP: SMPRINGLE L/ EB: FKWILLIS(DRAFT)

----- 013880

P 092042 Z MAR 73 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY QUITO PRIORITY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 043880

E. O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: CPRS, EFIN, EC (STROUTH, HOWARD)

SUBJECT: AMCIT HOWARD STROUTH

REF: QUITO 969

DAVILA- GUTHRIE LETTER, 11/6/72

- 1. DECREE 475 DOES NOT APPEAR TO BE DISCRIMINATORY AGAINST AMERICANS OR FOREIGNERS PER SE; ITS PROVISIONS APPLY EQUALLY TO ALL PERSONS AGAINST WHOM THE GOE HAS TAX CLAIMS. NEVERTHELESS, DISCRIMINATORY APPLICATION OF LAW NOT DIFFICULT TO IMAGINE. USE OF LAW ONLY AGAINST STROUTH OR AGAINST AMERICANS WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE DISCRIMINATORY. IN ITS EFFECT, LAW TENDS TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST PERSONS WHO HAVE NO ECUADOREAN INCOME AGAINST WHICH FUTURE TAX CREDITS COULD BE APPLIED, PERSONS WHO WOULD USUALLY BUT NOT ALWAYS BE FOREIGNERS. THUS, DISCRIMINATION ISSUE CANNOT BE RESOLVED UNTIL WE HAVE FURTHER INDICATION OF HOW AND AGAINST WHOM LAW IS TO BE APPLIED.
- 2. RELATED PROBLEM, AS NOTED DAVILA LETTER AND LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 043880

PARA 1 REFTEL, IS POSSIBLY CONFISCATORY NATURE OF PROCEDURE WHICH REQUIRES POSTING OF BOND IN AMOUNT OF TAX CLAIM AND DENIES RECOVERY OF AMOUNT EVEN IF PERSON ULTIMATELY PREVAILS IN DISPUTE WITH LOCAL TAX

AUTHORITIES. WERE STROUTH TO POST THE \$12,000, OBTAIN A FAVORABLE DECISION (AFTER MORE THAN SIX MONTHS) FROM THE LOCAL TAX COURTS, AND NEVERTHELESS LOSE THE BOND, IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO ARGUE THAT THIS DID NOT CONSTITUTE A SEIZURE OR OTHER TAKING OF PROPERTY FOR PURPOSES OF GONZALEZ, HICKENLOOPER, ETC., UNLESS THE TAX CREDITS RECEIVED BY THE CLAIMANT IN LIEU OF THE BOND COULD BE (A) USED TO OFFSET THE CLAIMANT'S FUTURE TAX LIABILITY (APPARENTLY UNLIKELY IN CASE OF STROUTH), OR (B) TRANSFERRED FOR VALUE TO ANOTHER PERSON OR PERSONS FOR USE BY THE LATTER. SUCH TRANSFERABILITY WOULD BE A QUESTION OF ECUADOREAN LAW; IN ANY EVENT, IT COULD NOT BE PRESUMED.

3. NEVERTHELESS, WE ARE RELUCTANT TO ASSUME IMPLICITLY OR EXPLICITLY WITHOUT FURTHER EVIDENCE THAT AS YET UNCONSTRUED GOE DECREE WILL NECESSARILY BE APPLIED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO RESULT IN A CONFISCATORY TAKING OF PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND U.S. LEGISLATION. REALIZING THAT IT WILL BE NECESSARY FOR THE EMBASSY TO INDICATE SOON TO STROUTH WHETHER WE BELIEVE HE SHOULD POST THE BOND AND ATTEMPT TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE LAW. OR REFUSE TO COMPLY AND ACCEPT THE CONSEQUENCES, WE BELIEVE YOU SHOULD RAISE THE MATTER INFORMALLY NOW WITH GOE OFFICIALS. YOU SHOULD POINT OUT OUR CONCERN THAT APPLICATION OF DECREE 475 TO A U.S. CITIZEN (OR OTHER PERSON) WHO IS NOT EXPECTED TO HAVE FUTURE GOE INCOME - THUS MAKING ANY TAX CREDITS USELESS - COULD BE VIEWED AS EXPROPRIATORY ACT WHICH IF INVOLVING U.S. CITIZEN WOULD CAUSE PROBLEMS REGARDING VARIOUS PROVISIONS OF U. S. LEGISLATION. YOU SHOULD EXPRESS YOUR HOPE THAT GOE WILL FIND MEANS OF APPLYING THIS YET UNTESTED DECREE TO SUCH PERSONS IN MANNER WHICH WILL ASSURE THAT POSTED BOND IS FULLY RECOVERABLE IF THE CLAIMANT PREVAILS, SO THAT UNDESIRABLE AND UNNECESSARY CONFRONTATION CAN BE AVOIDED. FYI: WHILE AS NOTED ABOVE WE CAN SEE HOW LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 043880

RESULT OF DECREE'S APPLICATION COULD BE CONFISCATORY,

WE VERY MUCH WISH TO AVOID PUTTING OURSELVES IN POSITION OF PREJU $\texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{E}$

^{***} Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

^{***} Current Classification *** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 09 MAR 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: martinjw
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE043880

Document Number: 1973STATE043880
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: DAGANTZ: CBF Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: n/a Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a

From: SECSTATE WASHDC Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730326/aaaahozk.tel Line Count: 116 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ORIGIN L

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 QUITO 969, DAVILA- GUTHRIE LETTER, 11/6/72

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: martinjw

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY Review Date: 18 JAN 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18-Jan-2002 by martinjw>; APPROVED <21 FEB 2002 by martinjw>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: <DBA CORRECTED> wfs 971124 Subject: AMCIT HOWARD STROUTH TAGS: CPRS, EFIN, EC, (STROUTH, HOWARD)

To: QUITO

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005